IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE SIDE

BAIL APPLICATION NO. 3348 OF 2005

Bhausaheb Pandurang Dighe .. Applicant

vs

State of Maharashtra .. Respondents

Mr.L.H.Patil for Appli.

Mr. Y.S.Shinde A.P.P.for Respts

CORAM : D.G.KARNIK, J

(Vacation Judge)

DATE: 24th May, 2005

P.C.

1. Heard learned counsel for the applicant and the learned A.P.P.for the State. The accused was arrested for offence under sections 363, 366 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code. Learned A.P.P.states that investigation is completed and even charge sheet has been filed against the accused. As such, the accused is not required to be detained in the custody for the purpose of investigation.

2. Learned A.P.P.opposes the bail only on the ground

that the accused had threatened to kill Archana and her maternal uncle. If any offence of threatening is committed by him, the accused can be arrested for such offence and dealt with in accordance with law. There is no reason for detaining the accused in custody for the purpose of investigation of the present offence. In the circumstances, the accused be released on bail on personal bond of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties of like amount subject to the condition that the accused shall not contact the victim Archana and her uncle till completion of the trial.

D.G.KARNIK, J